IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN'S

RAMON JERMAINE SAPP

E-filing

PLAINTIFF

CASE #CV-08-1903

VS.

MOTION TO AMEND

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT. | COMLAINT AS TO SEC.C

DEPT.38

AND RELIEF SOUGHT

DEFENDANTS

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF TO AMEND HIS COMPLAINT .

THE DEFENDANTS HAVE NOT YET FILED AN ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT .

PLAINTIFF SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO AMEND HIS COMPLAINT TO CORRECT THE DEFEICENCIES LISTED IN THE COMLAINT . PLAINTIFF COMES TO AMEND HIS COMPLAINT AS FOLLOWES:

SEE ATTACHED

DATE X 4-22-08

181 x 80

RAMON JERMAINE SAPP	
FULL NAME SAME AS ABOVE COMMITTED NAME (if different) USP VICTORVILLE/PO. BOX 5500 FULL ADDRESS INCLUDING NAME OF INSTITUTION ADELANTO, CA, 92301 PRISON NUMBER (if applicable)	THIS IS AN AMENDED COPY
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
RAMON JERMAINE SAPP PLAINTIFF,	CASE NUMBER CV - 08 - 1903 To be supplied by the Clerk
v.	CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO (Check one)

A. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS

(Dept. 38)

- 1. Have you brought any other lawsuits in a federal court while a prisoner: \square Yes \square No
- 2. If your answer to "1." is yes, how many?

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT.

Describe the lawsuit in the space below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on an attached piece of paper using the same outline.) The Complaint gives rise to allegation that law enforcement used unnecessary force at all times against Sapp during his arrest, while discharging their weapons, and that they used unnecessary force during the arrest when they hit and kicked Sapp After the shooting, and at all times making inappropriate comments and racial slurs, subjecting Sapp to torture, and other cruel and inhuman, degrading treatment, punishment. At all times herein Defendant's then violated the principles of Medical Ethics relevant to the role of Health Personel particularly Physicians, in the Protection of Prisoners and Detainees against Torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading Treatment or Punishment. They shot at him 114 times and turned in gun clips that reported only 43 shots made. The Oakland Police who cleaned up the spray of bullets reported that 114 bullets were shot,43 were reported which leaves 70 bullets shot and unaccounted for.

🕱 42 U.S.C. § 1983

☐ Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents 403 U.S. 388 (1971)

RAMON JERMAINE SAPP,

Plaintiff,

v.

SGT. M. LALOR, OFFICER B. WOO, OFFICER K. EDISON, OFFICER V. ETCHEBER, OFFICER D. NASTARI, OFFICER C. FABRI, OFFICER T. BOES, OFFICER B. PEAGLER, INSPECTOR HOLLORAN, SAN FRANSISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, AGENT P. BERMUDEZ, AGENT L. LAGAREJOS, AGENT W. JACKSON, AND ALL OTHER DEFENDANTS PRESENTLY UNKNOWN,

Defendants.

		Plaintiff	N/A
		Defendants	N/A
	b.	Court	N/A
		<u> </u>	
	c.	Docket or case number	N/A
,	d.	Name of judge to whom case was assigned	N/A
	e.	Disposition (For example: Was the case dismissed? appealed? Is it still pending?)	
	f.	Issues raised:	
	g.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit:	N/A
	h.	Approximate date of disposition	N/A
	occı	nere a grievance procedure available at the institution urred? Yes No	
	occu Have		oyour current complaint? 図 Yes □ No Police Department)
	occu Have	urred? ☐ Yes ☑ No e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco	oyour current complaint? 図 Yes □ No Police Department)
2.	Have (urred? ☐ Yes ☑ No e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco	oyour current complaint? 図 Yes □ No Police Department)
2.	Have (If you	e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco our answer is no, explain why not	oyour current complaint? 図 Yes □ No Police Department)
2.	Is the	e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco our answer is no, explain why not	oyour current complaint? ☑ Yes □ No Police Department)
 3. 4. 	If your lift you lift	e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco our answer is no, explain why not	oyour current complaint? ☑ Yes □ No Police Department)
2. 3. 4. C. JU	Is the If you	e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco our answer is no, explain why not	oyour current complaint? ☑ Yes □ No Police Department) Deduce Department of the second of the seco
2. 3. 4. C. JU	Is the If you Pleas	e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco our answer is no, explain why not	o your current complaint?
2. 3. 4. C. JU Th	Is the If you Pleas URISD	e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco our answer is no, explain why not	o your current complaint? Yes No Police Department) Dedure. Dedure. On Jermaine Sapp (print plaintiffs name) O. Box 5500 - Adelanto, CA 92301 Tess or place of confinement)
2. 3. 4. C. JU Th wh	Is the If you Pleas URISD is come or present the pre	e you filed a grievance concerning the facts relating to a claim with the San Francisco our answer is no, explain why not	edure. Sedure. On Jermaine Sapp (print plaintiffs name) O. Box 5500 - Adelanto, CA 92301 ress or place of confinement) which actions were directed against plaintiff at/in

OI	n (date or dat	tes)	2/27/02	. 2/	27/02				
	`	, 	(Claim I)		(Claim II)			(Claim III)	
N			not name more than of Tendants, make a cop						
1.	Defendant	Sgt	. M. Lalor		_			reside	es or works at
		-	e of first defendant) Francisco P	olice I)enartm	nent			
			ess of first defendant)		<u> </u>				
			ice Officer		ent	•			
		(de fendar	nt's position and title, if a	ny)					
	The defend	lant is su	ed in his/her (Check	one or both): 🛚 indiv	vidual □	official cap	eacity.	
	in thi	s comp	fendant was acting up	, Sgt.	Lalor	was ac			
	and und	der co	olor of Stat	e and f	ederal	law.			
2.	Defendant	Offic	er B. Woo					resides	s or works at
	Bereitaan	(full name	of first defendant)						·
			rancisco Po	lice De	partme	nt		·	
		•	,						
			e Officer 's position and title, if an	y)					
	The defenda	ant is sue	d in his/her (Check o	one or both)	: 🏻 indivi	dual 🗆 c	official capa	icity.	
	Explain how	v this def	endant was acting un laint arose,	nder color o	flaw: A er Woo	t the t	ime th	e claim s a San	s alleged Francisco
	Police	Offic	er and under	color	of St	ate and	feder	al law.	
3.	Defendant	Offic	er K. Edisor	1				resides	or works at
		(full name	of first defendant)						
			rancisco Pol	ice De	partmei	ונ			
		•	e Officer						
			s position and title, if any)	*******				
	The defendar	nt is suec	l in his/her (Check o	ne or both):	☑ individ	iual 🗆 o	fficial capa	city.	·
			ndant was acting un laint arose,						
•	cisco P	olice	Officer and	under	color	of Sta	te and	federa	l law.
					٠.				
							4111	1 1 3	

CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT

on (date or o	(Claim I)	(Claim II)	(CI	aim III)
	You need not name more than five (5) defendants, make a cop			
Defenda	(full name of first defendant)	REJOS Police Department		_ resides or works at
	(full address of first defendant) Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if a			
The defe	ndant is sued in his/her (Check	· .	☐ official capacit	y.
•	ow this defendant was acting t time the claims alleg		arose, Defend	dant was acting
as a po	olice officer and unde	r color of State and	Federal law.	
Defendan	AGENT M. LAGAR (full name of first defendant) San Francisco Po		· .	resides or works at
	(full address of first defendant) Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if an		* .	• •
The defen	dant is sued in his/her (Check	one or both): 🛚 individual	☐ official capacity	· ·
•	ow this defendant was acting until time the claims alle		arose, Defen	dant was acting
as a p	olice officer and unde	er color of State and	Federal law.	
Defendant	AGENT V. JACKSO (full name of first defendant) San Francisco Pol (full address of first defendant) Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if any	lice Department		resides or works at
The defend	lant is sued in his/her (Check o	ne or both): 凶 individual [☐ official capacity.	
•	w this defendant was acting un		arose, Defend	ant was acting
	lice officer and under			

on (date or o	dates), (Claim I)	(Claim II)	(Claim III)	
			n one claim. If you are naming more rmation for additional defendants.	thar
Defenda	nt INSPECTOR HOLLOR	RAN	resides or works at	
	San Francisco Pol (full address of first defendant)	lice Department		
, and the second consistency of the second s	Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if any)		***************************************	
The defe	ndant is sued in his/her (Check on	e or both): Ä individual □	official capacity.	
•	now this defendant was acting und time the claims alleged		ose, Defendant was acting	
as a po	olice officer and under	color of State and Fe	deral law.	
Defendan	SAN FRANCISCO PO	LICE DEPT.	resides or works at	
	San Francisco Poli (full address of first defendant)	ce Department	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if any)			
The defen	dant is sued in his/her (Check one	or both): 💆 individual 🗆 🔾	official capacity.	
Explain he	ow this defendant was acting unde	r color of law:		
At the	time the claims alleged	d in this complaint a	cose, Defendant was acting	<u>;</u>
as a p	olice officer and under	color of State and Fe	ederal law.	
Defendant	(full name of first defendant) San Francisco Police (full address of first defendant)		resides or works at	
	Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if any)			
The defend	dant is sued in his/her (Check one	or both): 凶 individual 口 o	fficial capacity.	
Explain ho	w this defendant was acting under	color of law:		
At the	time the claims alleged	in this complaint are	ose, Defendant was acting	_
as a po	lice officer and under o	color of State and Fed	leral law.	

on (date or da	tes),	(Claire II)		•
		(Claim II)	·	Claim III)
	ou need not name more than one ove (5) defendants, make a copy of			
Defendant	OFFICER V FTCH	EBER		resides or works at
	San Francisco Pol (full address of first defendant)	ice Department		-
Parameter State (State	Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if any)			
The defend	dant is sued in his/her (Check one	or both): 凶 individual	☐ official capaci	ty.
Explain ho	w this defendant was acting unde	r color of law:		
At the t	time the claims alleged	in this complaint	arose, Defen	dant was acting
as a pol	lice officer and under c	color of State and	Federal law.	
	OFFICER D. BOES	_		
Defendant	(full name of first defendant)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_ resides or works at
	San Francisco Polic	e Department		
•	(full address of first defendant)			_
	Police Officer (defendant's position and title, if any)	_		
	(dononium position die anni, is any,			
The defend	ant is sued in his/her (Check one	or both): 🖄 individual	☐ official capacit	y.
Explain hov	w this defendant was acting under	color of law:		
At the	time the claims alleged	in this complaint	arose, Defe	ndant was acting
	lice officer and under			
Defendant	OFFICER B PEAGLE (full name of first defendant)	R		resides or works at
•	San Francisco Polic	e Department		· ·
	(full address of first defendant)			
	Police Officer			•
	(defendant's position and title, if any)			
The defenda	ant is sued in his/her (Check one o	rboth): 🛚 individual l	☐ official capacity	
Explain hov	this defendant was acting under	color of law:		
At the t	ime the claims alleged	in this complaint	arose, Defend	lant was acting
as a pol	ice officer and under co	olor of State and	Federal law.	

4. Defendant	OFFICER D. NASTARI (full name of first defendant)	resides or works at
	SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT (full address of first defendant) POLICE OFFICER	
	(defendant's position and title, if any)	•
The defend	lant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): individual official capacity.	
Explain ho	w this defendant was acting under color of law: At the time the cloomplaint arose, officer Nastari was acting as	laims alleged s a san francisc
police o	fficer and under color of State and Federal la	aw.
5. Defendant	OFFICER C. FABRI	resides or works at
	(full name of first defendant) SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT.	
	(full address of first defendant)	
	POLICE OFFICER	
	(defendant's position and title, if any)	
The defenda	ant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): individual official capacity.	. :
Explain how	vithis defendant was acting under color of law: At the time the cleomplaint arose, officer Fabri was acting as a	aims alleged
	fficer and under color of State and Federal La	
	and redefair bu	

D. CLAIMS*

CLAIM I

The following civil right has been violated:

The allegation of UNNECESSARY FORCE against police officer for using unnecessary force during the arrest in the discharge of their weapons.

The allegation against an unknown police officer for using unnecessary force during the arrest in that they hit and kicked ME after the shooting.

The allegation against a police officer for using unnecessary force during the handcuffing process.

The allegation against a police officer for making inappropriate comments after the shooting .

The allegation against an unknown police officer for using profanity during the event.

Supporting Facts: Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be certain you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each DEFENDANT (by name) did to violate your right.

They shot at him 114 times and turned in gun clips that reported only 43 shots made. These individuals knew what they were doing. It didn't take all of these bullets and certainly the extra hitting and kicking they did while he was laying on the ground helpless. The Plaintiff claims the police were standing over him and shooting and he also claims the officers screamed obscenities and I Quote, KILL THIS MOTHER FUCKER...

"KILL HIM"....SHOOT HIM IN THE FUCKEN HEAD....MAKE SURE THE MOTHER FUCKER IS DEAD. The Plaintiff spoke his only defense to the officers. "You guys didn't have to shoot me like this" and the officers replyed by swinging and kicking at him yelling and I quote "This mother fucker isn't dead yet"...Son of a Bitch. The Plaintiff was then handcuffed thrown face down on the ground. As a result of thes gun shot wounds his left leg has been amputated from the knee down and his right leg

the bullets fractured the bone as well. Left hand has nerve damage also.

CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT

^{*}If there is more than one claim, describe the additional claim(s) on another attached piece of paper using the same outline.

PLAINTIFF SEEKS THE FOLLOWING DAMAGES FROM EACH SAID NAMED DEFENDANT AS SATED BELOW:

FROM DEFENDANT SGT. M. LALOR

\$5,000,000.00 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

\$10,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES

\$ 3,000,000,00 IN NOMINAL DAMAGES

FROM DEFENDANT OFFICER B. WOO

\$ 5,000,000.00 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

\$10,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES

\$ 3,000,000.00 IN NOMINAL DAMAGES

FROM DEFENDANT OFFICER K. EDISON

\$ 5,000,000,00 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

\$10,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES

\$ 3,000,000.00 IN NOMINAL DAMAGES

FROM DEFENDANT OFFICER V. ETCHEBER

\$ 5,000,000.00 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

\$10,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES

\$ 3,000,000.00 IN NOMINAL DAMAGES

FROM DEFENDANT OFFICER D. NASTARI

\$ 5,000,000.00 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

\$10,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES

\$ 3,000,000.00 IN NOMINAL DAMAGES

FROM DEFENDANT OFFICER C. FABRI

\$ 5,000,000.00 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

\$10,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES

\$ 3,000,000.00 IN NOMINAL DAMAGES

FROM DEFENDANT OFFICER T. BOES

\$ 5,000,000.00 IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

\$10,000,000.00 IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES

\$ 3,000,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES
FROM DEFENDANT	OFFICER B. PEAGLER
\$ 5,000,000.00	IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
\$ <u>10,000,000.00</u>	IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES
\$_3,000,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES
FROM DEFENDANT	INSPECTOR HOLLORAN
\$_5,000,000.00	IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
\$ <u>10,000,000.00</u>	IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES
\$_3,000,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES
FROM DEFENDANT	SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT
\$ 20,000,000.00	IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
\$ 20,000,000.00	IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES
\$ <u>10,0</u> 00,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES
FROM DEFENDANT	AGENT P. BERMUDEZ
\$ 5,000,000.00	IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
\$10,000,000.00	IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES
\$ 3,000,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES
FROM DEFENDANT	AGENT L. LAGAREJOS
\$ 5,000,000.00	IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
\$10,000,000.00	IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES
\$ 3,000,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES
FROM DEFENDANT	AGENT M. LAGAREJOS
\$ 5,000,000.00	IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
\$10,000,000.00	IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES
\$ 3,000,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES
FROM DEFENDANT	AGENT V. JACKSON
\$ 5,000,000.00	IN COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
\$10,000,000.00	IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES
3,000,000.00	IN NOMINAL DAMAGES

SIGNED ON THIS $_{\mathbf{X}}$ DAY OF $_{\mathbf{X}}$ ______/2008

/s/<u>x</u>____

Page 15 of de lanto, CP Kamon Sapp United States P. O. Box 5500 9230/

\$6941-01

Raitestias

450 Golder Gate Ave. Ustice of The Clerk, U.S. District Vorthern District of California 450

